



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,036	01/26/2001	Hal Hjalmar Ottesen	ROC919950043US4	ROC919950043US4 7808	
7:	590 05/10/2002				
IBM Corporation Intellectual Property Law Dept 917 3605 Hwy 52 North			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			CHEVALIER, ROBERT		
Rochester, MN 55901					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	*			\mathcal{M}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		09/771,036	OTTESEN ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bob Chevalier	2615				
Th	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORT THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to r - Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the plant of the provision of the plant of the provision of the p	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
_	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	January 2001 .					
<i>'</i>	·	is action is non-final.					
3)∏ Sii	The state of the s						
Disposition	of Claims						
, —	im(s) $\underline{1}$ is/are pending in the application.						
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
,	im(s) is/are allowed.						
<i>,</i> —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
<i>,</i> —	im(s) is/are objected to.	al a stra a manufactura a st					
8) Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
• •	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buthe attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge			
14)∏ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional app	olication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-15				
LLS Patent and Traden	nark Office						



Application/Control Number: 09/771,036

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the submitted prior art of Russo et al (P.N. 5,701,383).

Russo et al discloses a video recording/reproducing apparatus that shows all the limitations recited in claim 1, including the feature of recording multimedia program segment on a direct access storage medium having a plurality of data storing regions disposed on any of a lower disk surface and an upper disk surface (See Russo et al's Figure 1, components 125 and 125'), the feature of the transducer disposed on each of the elongated arms of an actuator (See Russo et al's Figure 1, components 124, 126, and 132, and 134), and the feature of the controller for controlling writing and reading of the program segments on and from the storage medium (See Russo et al's Figure 1, components 122, 130, and 114).



Application/Control Number: 09/771,036

Art Unit: 2615

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,208,804. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, and that the claimed language of the present Application is somewhat different from the language recited in the patented claim 1, however, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is noted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the patented claim 1, would be able to perform the functions of the claimed limitations of the present Application since the limitations recited in the claimed invention of the present Application are also recited in the patented claim 1. Applicant's attention is directed to the Patent No. 6,208,804.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 703-305Application/Control Number: 09/771,036

Art Unit: 2615

4780. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

B. Chevalier May 2, 2002 ROBERT CHEVALLER